UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/584,221	06/20/2007	Noboru Abe	46453	1699
	7590 03/31/200 NISON & SELTER	9	EXAMINER	
2000 M STREE	ET NW SUITE 700	VALENROD, YEVGENY		
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20036-3307		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/31/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/584,221	ABE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
YEVEGENY VALENROD	1621		

	TEVEGENT VALENKOD	1021	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>06 February 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	on. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extremely an extra transfer of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of the corresponding a	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl	iance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be f	iled within two months	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS			e appeal. Since a
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief,	will <u>not</u> be entered be	cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor	•	E below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•		
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	er form for appeal by materially red	lucing or simplifying ti	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			,
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be alle		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).			_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	I NOT I II II II II I	1141 6 11	
 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Displaceure Statement(s). 		condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	- 10/30/00) Fapel NO(S)		
	/Paul A. Zucker/		
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1621	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant has presented arguments directed to the teaching of Harayama. Applicant has argued that Harayama does not teach oxidation of sulfoxide to sulfone but rather teaches oxidation of sulfide to sulfone as described in example 3. Examiner disagrees with applicants' argument and maintains the rejection of record. Examiner believes that oxidation of compound (IV) to compound (I) on page 3 of the EP document includes instances where the compound of formula (IV) is a sulfoxide (i.e. n = 1). The fact that Harayama does not exemplify the sulfoxide to sulfone oxidation does not limit the scope of what is disclosed on page 2. One skilled in the art would expect that conditions that are suitable for oxidizing sulfide to sulfone to be also suitable for oxidation of sulfoxide to sulfone.

Applicants have also argued that the art provides no motivation to combine the references. Examiner again disagrees. The motivation to combine the references is to prepare known compounds with known properties. One skilled in the art would find it obvious to utilize known methodology to prepare known compounds. Rejection of claims 1-4 is maintained for reasons presented above and reasons of record.